PRESCRIBED GRAZING (528) CONSERVATION PRACTICE DOCUMENTATION WORKSHEET

RANGELAND - RIPARIAN AREA GRAZING

| CLIENT/OPERATING UNIT: I | LOCATION: | |
|---|--|---|
| FARM NO TRACT: FIELD | o/Pastures(s): | ACRES |
| PROGRAM: CONTRACT NO. ITEM NO. | : | JOB APPROVAL CLASS |
| PURPOSE (check one or more of the purposes listed below) ☐ Improve or maintain the health and vigor of selected plant(s) and to maintain a stable and desired plant community ☐ Provide or maintain food, cover, and shelter for animals of concern ☐ Maintain or improve animal health and productivity ☐ Maintain or improve water quality and quantity ☐ Control accelerated soil erosion and maintain or improve soil productivity | (see page 3 of 7): Attached [WATERSPREADING DOCUMENTATION Not Applicable [THREATENED OR F None [| WORKSHEET (form NV-CPA-640): In Case File ENDANGERED SPECIES: See Below In Case File ■ |
| ☐ Attain grazing and management efficiency to promote economic stability and meet resource improvement objectives | NOXIOUS OR POISO None | ONOUS PLANTS: See Below In Case File |
| FORAGE INVENTORY: FORAGE INVENTORY: Form NV-ECS-01 is used to record rangeland ecological site species composition (by weight), assess usable forage production, determine Similarity Index, and to evaluate rangeland or planned trend. NV-ECS-01(s) (page 1): In Case File | (If there are 2 or 1 complete a Grazi GRAZING SYSTEM be | EY AREAS IN EACH GRAZING UNIT |
| RANGELAND HEALTH EVALUATIONS: NV-ECS-01(s) (page 2): In Case File PRODUCTION DATA [ECS-RANGE-417] (if appropriate): Not Applicable In Case File | KIND AND CLASS OF ANIMALS GRA KIND OF ANIMAL TO BE GRAZED | .S CLASS OF NUMBER OF |
| PLANT GROWTH CURVES: Not Available | TIMING AND LEN | GTH OF GRAZING PERIOD: |
| RIPARIAN/WETLAND INVENTORY PROPER FUNCTIONING CONDITION worksheet has completed entries for Lotic and/or Lentic riparian areas (see pages 4 of 7 thru 7 of 7) referencing to: BLM TR 1737-15 (1998). A User Guide to Assessing Proper Functioning Condition and the Supporting Science for Lotic Areas or BLM TR 1737-11 (1994). Process for Assessing Proper Functioning Condition for Lentic Areas. | SUPPLEMENTAL BEING GRAZED: | FEED SUPPLIED TO LIVESTOCK |

In Case File 🔲

Attached 🔲

FORAGE SUMMARY & ANIMAL INVENTORY PLANNED GRAZING SYSTEM A grazing schedule (if 2 or more grazing units) to guide **NV-ECS-04** RANCH PLANNING SUMMARY: livestock movements and identify periods of grazing, Forage Inventory In Case File 🔲 deferment, rest, and other treatments for each grazing Number of pastures; size of each pasture; management unit. usable forage production for each pasture by Not Appropriate ☐ In Case File ☐ Specify the number; the kind and class of Livestock Inventory In Case File animals to be grazed; the timing of use; and, Number, kind and class of animals, and the length of each grazing period for each AUMs by seasonal period for each separate grazed sub-unit (separate field) in the riparian herd to be grazed. pasture area. Follow format as presented in Exhibit I of Prescribed Grazing practice **NV-ECS-03 RANCH ORGANIZATION SUMMARY:** specification. In Case File RANGE READINESS (HERBACEOUS VEGETATION): Listed Below Attached 🗌 In Case File KEY RANGE READINESS* REGROWTH PHENOLOGICAL STAGE OF PLANT GROWTH AREA PLANT HEIGHT **PASTURE** KEY SPECIES PERIOD *Range Readiness is a defined stage of plant growth at which grazing may begin under a specific management plan without permanent damage to vegetation or soil. **Regrowth Period is the time (in days) required for harvested forage plants to return to grazing readiness stage. WILDLIFE FORAGE/BROWSE ALLOCATIONS BY PASTURE: Listed Below Attached In Case File \square FORAGE or BROWSE CRITICAL WILDLIFE FOOD, COVER WILDLIFE ALLOCATION FOR WILDLIFE (Lbs/Ac) SPECIAL HABITAT SPECIES OR SHELTER REQUIREMENTS CONCERNS* PASTURE *Special Habitat Concerns - include specific wildlife habitat requirements such as strutting grounds, nesting, and brood rearing areas and fawning, kidding, or calving areas. **OPERATION & MAINTENANCE** PRACTICE CERTIFICATION A plan to monitor and document impacts of grazing Practice specifications have been reviewed and practice management is to be prepared. Includes completion of application is *agreed to*: NRCS-RANGE-414 (and/or NRCS-RANGE-416); identification of photo point locations (if used) and Client: record of trend (change) in plant community structure, Date: ___/___/ species composition, and productivity as prescribed grazing is applied. Record actual grazing dates, climatic conditions, livestock stocking density; and livestock performance. I certify that the above practice has been applied and meets NRCS Practice Standards and Specifications. MONITORING PLAN: Attached In Case File NRCS Planner: Attached 🗌 In Case File NRCS-RANGE-414: Date: / / NRCS-RANGE -416: Attached In Case File

CONTINGENCY PLAN

If requested, a contingency plan that accounts for potential management problems (*i.e.*, drought) and a guide for adjusting the grazing prescription to insure resource protection will be developed.

| Not Requested Attached In Case File |
|-------------------------------------|
|-------------------------------------|

RIPARIAN AREA/STREAMBANK VEGETATION ASSESSMENT MATRIX

| PASTURE | KEY PLANT SPECIES ON SITE CAPABLE OF PROTECTING STREAMBANKS | VEGETATIVE COVER OF I SPECIES PRESENT RI TO PROTECT STREAN | KEY PLANT EQUIRED | MINIMUM STUBBLE HEIGHT OF KEY PLANTS ON STREAMBANK TO REMAIN FOLLOWING GRAZING | KEY PLANT SPECIES ON SITE CAPABLE OF TRAPPING AND HOLDING SEDIMENTS | VEGETATIVE KEY PLAN PRESENT REQ AND HOLD | T SPECIES UIRED TO TRAP | KEY RIPARIAN BROWSE PLANTS | CRITICAL GROWTH AND ESTABLISHMENT PERIODS FOR KEY RIPARIAN BROWSE PLANTS |
|---------|---|--|----------------------|---|---|---|----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | İ | | | | | | | |
| | | İ | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | İ | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Reference To:

Bureau of Land Management TR 1737-15 (1998). A User Guide to Assessing Proper Functioning Condition and the Supporting Science for Lotic Areas.

DETERMINING PROPER FUNCTIONING CONDITION OF *LOTIC* RIPARIAN-WETLAND AREAS

Standard Checklist

| Name of Riparian-Wetland Area: _ | | | |
|----------------------------------|--------|-------------------|--------------|
| Date: | | Segment/Reach ID: | |
| Miles/Feet: | Acres: | ID Tean | m Observers: |

| Yes | No | N/A | HYDROLOGY | |
|-----|----|-----|---|--|
| | | | Floodplain above bankfull is inundated in "relatively frequent" events | |
| | | | Where beaver dams are present, they are active and stable | |
| | | | 3) Sinuosity, width/depth ratio, and gradient are in balance with the landscape setting (i.e., landform, geology, and bioclimatic region) | |
| | | | 4) Riparian-wetland area is widening or has achieved potential extent | |
| | | | 5) Upland watershed is not contributing to riparian-wetland degradation | |

| Yes | No | N/A | VEGETATION | |
|-----|----|-----|--|--|
| | | | There is diverse age-class distribution of riparian-wetland vegetation (recruitment for maintenance/recovery) | |
| | | | There is diverse composition of riparian-wetland vegetation (for maintenance/recovery) | |
| | | | Species present indicate maintenance of riparian-wetland soil moisture characteristics | |
| | | | Streambank vegetation is comprised of those plants or plant communities that have root masses capable of withstanding high-streamflow events | |
| | | | 10) Riparian-wetland plants exhibit high vigor | |
| | | | Adequate riparian-wetland vegetative cover is present to protect streambanks and dissipate energy during high flows | |
| | | | 12) Plant communities are an adequate source of coarse and/or large woody material (for maintenance/recovery) | |

| Yes | No | N/A | EROSION/DEPOSITION | | |
|-----|----|-----|---|--|--|
| | | | 13) Floodplain and channel characteristics (<i>i.e.</i> , rocks, overflow channels, coarse and/or large woody material) are adequate to dissipate energy | | |
| | | | 14) Point bars are re-vegetating with riparian-wetland vegetation | | |
| | | | 15) Lateral stream movement is associated with natural sinuosity | | |
| | | | 16) System is vertically stable | | |
| | | | 17) Stream is in balance with the water and sediment being supplied by the watershed (<i>i.e.</i> , no excessive erosion or deposition) | | |

Remarks

| | SUMMARY DETERM | NATION |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Functional Rating: | | |
| Proper Function | oning | |
| Functional-At | : Risk | |
| Nonfunc | tional | |
| Unk | nown | |
| Trend for Functional–At F | Risk Rating: | |
| Up | oward | |
| Down | ward | |
| Not App | arent | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Are factors contributing t | o unacceptable condition | is outside the control of the manag |
| Are factors contributing t | | is outside the control of the manag |
| Are factors contributing t | Yes | is outside the control of the manag |
| Are factors contributing t | | is outside the control of the manag |
| Are factors contributing t | Yes | ns outside the control of the manag |
| | Yes No | is outside the control of the manag |
| If yes, what are those fac | Yes No | Upstream channel conditions |

DETERMINING PROPER FUNCTIONING CONDITION OF *LENTIC* RIPARIAN-WETLAND AREAS

Standard Checklist

| Name | of Ripa | rian-We | tland | Area: | | | |
|---|---------|-----------|--|---|---|--|--|
| Date: Area/Segment ID: Acres: | | | | | | | |
| ID Tea | am Obs | ervers: _ | | | | | |
| Yes | No | N/A | | НҮІ | DROLOGY | | |
| | | | 1) | Riparian-wetland area is saturated at or near the surface or is inundated in "relatively frequent" events (1-3 years) | | | |
| | | | 2) | Fluctuation of water levels is no | ot excessive | | |
| | | | 3) | Riparian-wetland zone is enlarg | ging or has achieved potential extent | | |
| | | | 4) | Upland watershed is not contrib | outing to riparian-wetland degradation | | |
| | | | 5) | Water quality is sufficient to sup | oport riparian-wetland plants | | |
| | | | 6) | Natural surface or subsurface f disturbance (i.e., hoof action, or drilling activities) | low patterns are not altered by lams, dikes, trails, roads, rills, gullies, | | |
| | | | 7) | Structure accommodates safe affecting dam or spillway) | passage of flows (e.g., no headcut | | |
| Yes | No | N/A | | VEC | GETATION | | |
| | | | 8) | Diverse age-class distribution (| recruitment for maintenance/recovery) | | |
| | | | 9) | Diverse composition of vegetat | ion (for maintenance/recovery) | | |
| | | | 10) Species present indicate maintenance of riparian-wetland soil mois characteristics | | enance of riparian-wetland soil moisture | | |
| | | | 11) Vegetation is comprised of those plants or plant communities that ha root masses capable of withstanding wind events, wave flow events overland flows (e.g., storm events, snowmelt) | | | | |
| | | | 12) | Riparian-wetland plants exhibit | high vigor | | |
| | | | 13) Adequate vegetative cover is present to protect shorelines/surface s and dissipate energy during high wind and wave events or overland | | | | |
| | | | 14) | Frost or abnormal hydrologic he | eaving is not present | | |
| | | | 15) | Favorable microsite condition (is maintained by adjacent site | <i>i.e.</i> , woody debris, water temperature, etc.) characteristics | | |
| Yes | No | N/A | | EROSIO | N/DEPOSITION | | |
| | | | 16) | Accumulation of chemicals affe apparent. | ecting plant productivity/composition is not | | |
| | | | 17) | Saturation of soils (i.e., ponding sufficient to compose and main | g, flooding frequency and duration) is name | | |
| | | | 18) | Underlying geologic structure/s restricting water percolation | oil material/permafrost is capable of | | |
| | | | 19) | | with the water and sediment being , no excessive erosion or deposition) | | |

20) Islands and shoreline characteristics (*i.e.*, rocks, coarse and/or large woody debris) adequate to dissipate wind and wave event energies

| | Remarks |
|----------------------------------|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| SL | JMMARY DETERMINATION |
| Functional Rating: | |
| Proper Functioning | |
| Functional-At Risk | |
| Nonfunctional | |
| Unknown | |
| Trend for Functional–At Risk Ra | ating: |
| Upward | |
| Downward | |
| Not Apparent | |
| | |
| Are factors contributing to unac | cceptable conditions outside the control of the manage |
| | Yes |
| | No |
| If yes, what are those factors? | |
| | ining activities Watershed condition |
| | oad encroachment Land ownership |
| Other (specify) | |